

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/628,330	07/29/2003		Satoshi Miura	03131	6093		
23338	7590	08/02/2006		EXAM	INER		
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET				VERDIER, CHR	VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M		
SUITE 105	SIKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3745				
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ø

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/628,330	MIURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Christopher Verdier	3745		

	ļc	Christopher Verdie	r	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appear	s on the cover sh	eet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE 1	THIS APPLIC	CATION IN COND	ITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but print this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in time periods:	or to or on that of the following e; (2) a Notic	ne same day as filing replies: (1) an a ne of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of mendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	ate of this Adveply expire late box (a) or (b).	risory Action, or (2) the than SIX MONTHS. ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF NOTICE OF APPEAL	period of exter date of the sho Office later th	nsion and the corresportened statutory per	oonding amount iod for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A bri filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), o a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mu AMENDMENTS	r any extens	ion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final	rejection bu	t prior to the date	of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see No. 1) (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the applications.	further cons NOTE below)	ideration and/or se	earch (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without ca NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 3	anceling a co	rresponding numb			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3			tice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).			-	•	_
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be reject the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as followed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,10-17,21 and 22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	cted is provid			II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(c	of good and s				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the da entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is 	failed to ove necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejection and was not earlie	ns under appe r presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An execution REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	explanation of	of the status of the	claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration.	sidered but o	loes NOT place th	e application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure State 13. Other:	ement(s). (P	TO/SB/08 or PTO	-1449) Paper N	No(s)	9
				Christopher Verdie	

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/628,330

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment proposes amending claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of claim 5, and amending claim 14 to incorporate the limitations of claim 17. Neither claim 5 not claim 17 were rejected based 35 USC 103. While this amendment is appreciated, a terminal disclaimer is still required in order to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejections set forth in the final rejection. Also note that in claim 1, starting from the sixth line from the bottom of the claim, numerous terms lack antecedent basis such as "the predetermined pitch", "the grooves", "the first surface", "the second surface", etc. The proposed amendment to claim 1 would result in direct conflict with claim 4 and add new matter, because the impeller grooves cannot be both in the same circumferential position as recited in claim 1 and shifted by half the pitch as recited in claim 4. Additionally, the first and second discharge port cannot be displaced by one-half the pitch of the grooves as recited in claim 1 with the first and second discharge port being disposed at the same position as recited in claim 4. The proposed amendment to claim 14 raises similar issues with regard to conflicting with claim 16 and adding new matter. If Applicant files a proper terminal disclaimer, incorporates the subject matter of claim 5 into claim 1, incorporates the subject matter of claim 17 into claim 14, and carefully reviews the claims to remove all indefinite claim language, all conflicting claim language, and all new matter, then such an amendment would place the application in condition for allowance and would be entered. The examiner also suggests that applicant cancel claims 4 and 16 to remove the conflicting claim language. If applicant has any questions, then a telephone call to the examiner is encouraged.